Type of Contribution:
Editorial
Research Paper
Review Paper
Case Study

ENERGY: JURNAL ILMIAH ILMU-ILMU TEKNIK
Vol. 16, No. 2 (2026) pp 418-430
DOI: 10.51747/energy.v16i2.p418-430
E-ISSN: 2962-2565

This article contributes to:
SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOALS
9 INDUSTRY, INNOVATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE

Integration of Analysis Power Flow, Short Circuits, and Coordination Relays on the System Power Distribution: A Systematic Literature Review

Thriskadewi Umi Rasyda[1*], **Alisha Gita Gumilang**[1]

[1] Electrical Engineering Study Program, Faculty of Engineering, Tridinanti University, 30129, **Indonesia**

* thriskadewi@univ-tridinanti.ac.id

Abstract

This literature review comprehensively examines the integration of power flow analysis, short-circuit analysis, and relay coordination in electrical power distribution systems. Drawing upon 25 peer-reviewed journal articles and textbooks published between 2015 and 2023, this review synthesizes methodologies, findings, and trends across three interrelated domains. Power flow analysis underpins system planning and operational efficiency; short-circuit analysis ensures equipment rating adequacy and safety; and protective relay coordination guarantees selective fault isolation and system continuity. The increasing penetration of distributed generation (DG), photovoltaic (PV) systems, and battery energy storage (BESS) presents unprecedented challenges to traditional protection paradigms, necessitating adaptive and integrated analytical approaches. This review identifies research gaps, highlights emerging tools such as heuristic optimization and smart-grid-enabled adaptive protection, and proposes directions for future integrated distribution system analysis frameworks.

Keywords: Protective Relay, Distribution System, Adaptive Protection, Newton-Raphson Method, Overcurrent Relay, IEC 60909.

Article Info
Submitted: 2026-04-28
Revised: 2026-07-29
Accepted: 2026-08-01
Published: 2026-08-05

Publisher
Universitas Panca Marga

1. Introduction

Modern electric power distribution systems are undergoing rapid transformation, driven by growing electricity demand, decarbonization policies, and the large-scale integration of renewable energy sources (RES) and distributed generation (DG) units. The reliable, efficient, and safe operation of distribution networks hinges upon three foundational analytical pillars: power flow (load flow) analysis, short-circuit (fault) analysis, and protective relay coordination [1], [4], [6].

Power flow analysis determines the steady-state voltage magnitudes, phase angles, active and reactive power flows across all network nodes and branches under specified loading conditions. This is indispensable for system planning, optimal dispatch, voltage regulation, and loss minimization [2], [3]. Short-circuit analysis, by contrast, evaluates the magnitude and distribution of fault currents resulting from various fault types—three-phase symmetrical, line-to-line, line-to-ground, and double line-to-ground—enabling engineers to properly rate switchgear, conductors, and protective devices [7], [8], [9].

Protective relay coordination, the third pillar, ensures that in the event of a fault, the relay closest to the fault operates first (primary protection), while backup relays operate with a predefined time margin if the primary fails. This hierarchical selectivity minimizes the extent of outages and preserves system stability [15], [17] [19]. Traditionally, these three analyses have been conducted in isolation; however, the complex interdependencies within modern distribution networks—particularly with bidirectional power flows due to DG integration—demand their integrated and simultaneous consideration [12], [18], [25].

The objective of this literature review is to systematically examine, synthesize, and critically evaluate the body of knowledge pertaining to the integrated application of power flow analysis, short-circuit analysis, and relay coordination in power distribution systems. A total of 25 scholarly references published between 2015 and 2023 are analyzed. The review is organized thematically: Section 2 addresses power flow analysis; Section 3 covers short-circuit analysis; Section 4 discusses relay coordination; Section 5 examines integration challenges and approaches; Section 6 presents a comparative synthesis; and Section 7 identifies research gaps and future directions.

2. Methods

2.1 Power Flow Analysis in Distribution Systemas

2.1.1 Fundamental Methods

Power flow analysis, also referred to as load flow analysis, is the computational procedure for determining the steady-state operating conditions of a power network. The primary unknowns are bus voltage magnitudes and phase angles, from which active/reactive power flows and losses are derived. Saadat [4] provides a comprehensive theoretical foundation for power flow methods, noting that the Newton-Raphson (NR) method and its variants are the most widely adopted due to their quadratic convergence characteristics and robustness for large, meshed networks.

For distribution systems, which are predominantly radial and characterized by high R/X ratios, conventional NR formulations may exhibit convergence difficulties. Ahmad et al. [1] demonstrated that a modified NR method incorporating the specific topology of radial distribution feeders significantly improves convergence when DG units are embedded at multiple nodes. Their study on a 33-bus test system showed that DG integration can reduce total active power losses by up to 34.2% and improve voltage profiles at terminal buses, which is consistent with findings by Grainger and Stevenson [5].

Jabr [2] proposed the application of conic programming (second-order cone programming, SOCP) as an alternative to iterative NR for radial distribution load flow, offering globally convergent solutions and computational scalability for large feeders. This approach is particularly valuable in distribution automation applications where real-time or near-real-time load flow solutions are required.

2.1.2 Network Reconfiguration and Loss Minimization

A direct application of power flow analysis is feeder reconfiguration, where sectionalizing switches are opened or closed to alter the network topology for loss reduction and load balancing. Baran and Wu [3] established the seminal formulation for distribution network reconfiguration, demonstrating that optimal switch operations derived from iterative load flow solutions can achieve significant loss reduction. Their methodology remains a benchmark referenced extensively in modern studies [13], [22].

Hamidan and Borousan [23] extended this framework by simultaneously optimizing the placement and sizing of DG units and battery energy storage systems (BESS) in distribution networks, incorporating load flow constraints within a metaheuristic optimization framework. Their results on a 33-bus system showed simultaneous improvements in loss reduction (up to 47.3%), voltage profile enhancement, and reliability indices (SAIFI, SAIDI).

2.1.3 Impact of Distributed Generation on Power Flow

The integration of DG—including photovoltaic (PV) arrays, wind turbines, and micro-combined heat and power (micro-CHP) units—fundamentally alters the unidirectional power flow paradigm of traditional distribution systems. Daud et al. [10] examined the impact of PV power sources with battery energy storage on hourly power dispatch profiles, demonstrating that bidirectional power flows can cause voltage rise at remote nodes, particularly during periods of low load and high generation. This underscores the necessity of incorporating DG models into load flow solvers.

Gonen [6] provides a detailed treatment of distribution system load flow with DG, noting that the presence of inverter-interfaced generation requires careful modeling of power factor control and reactive power injection characteristics. Pattabiraman et al. [21] further distinguished between grid-following and grid-forming inverter control strategies, showing that the chosen control architecture significantly influences the power flow solution and system frequency dynamics under various loading scenarios.

2.2 Short-Circuit Analysis in Distribution Systems

2.2.1 Theoretical Framework and Fault Types

Short-circuit analysis is essential for determining fault current magnitudes at all network buses under various fault conditions, enabling proper selection and rating of circuit breakers, fuses, current transformers (CTs), and protective relays. The symmetrical component method, formalized through the Z-bus (bus impedance matrix) approach, is the standard analytical framework for both balanced and unbalanced fault studies [8], [4].

Kundur et al. [8] provide the theoretical underpinning for fault analysis using sequence networks, demonstrating how positive-, negative-, and zero-sequence impedance networks are interconnected to model line-to-ground, line-to-line, double line-to-ground, and three-phase faults. The IEC 60909 standard, as discussed by Gönen [6], provides a simplified method for calculating maximum and minimum short-circuit currents using voltage correction factors, which is widely applied in European and Asian distribution system practice.

Bhargav et al. [7] conducted a detailed fault analysis of a multi-bus power system using MATLAB/Simulink, validating both symmetrical (three-phase) and unsymmetrical fault scenarios. Their study confirmed that single line-to-ground faults are the most common (approximately 70–80% of all faults) and often produce the highest fault currents at certain network locations, a finding consistent with established power system theory [5], [8].

2.2.2 Short-Circuit Analysis in Active Distribution Networks

The introduction of DG into distribution networks complicates short-circuit analysis because DG units contribute fault current, altering both the magnitude and direction of fault currents seen by traditional protective devices. Topsoe et al. [9] performed a comprehensive short-circuit analysis of an active distribution network containing synchronous and inverter-interfaced DG, demonstrating that DG contribution can increase fault current magnitudes by 15–40% depending on DG technology and location.

This fault current contribution from DG has direct implications for protection coordination: overcurrent relays calibrated for a passive network may misoperate or fail to operate correctly in the presence of DG, a phenomenon referred to as "protection blinding" or "false tripping" [12], [17]. Mirsaeidi et al. [14] examined these protection challenges specifically in hybrid AC/DC microgrids, where multiple DG sources are interconnected, and proposed communication-based protection schemes as a solution.

2.2.3 Equipment Rating and Safety Implications

A primary application of short-circuit analysis is verifying that existing equipment—circuit breakers, fuses, busbar systems, and cables—has adequate interrupting and withstand ratings. Sonnenschein [11] highlighted the problem of current transformer (CT) saturation during high-magnitude fault events, showing that CT saturation can cause relay misoperation and jeopardize coordination integrity. The study recommended CT burden analysis and reselection as an integral part of any comprehensive protection study.

Colak et al. [24] discussed the role of power electronic converters in limiting fault current contributions from inverter-based resources (IBR), noting that most grid-connected inverters limit their fault current output to 1.0–1.5 times rated current. This has the dual effect of reducing fault current magnitude (potentially causing protection "blinding") while simultaneously improving equipment protection from fault-induced damage.

2.3 Protective Relay Coordination in Distribution Systems

2.3.1 Fundamentals of Overcurrent Relay Coordination

Overcurrent relay (OCR) coordination is the process of setting time-current characteristics of cascaded relays such that the relay nearest to a fault operates first, followed by backup relays with progressively longer time delays. The fundamental coordination criterion is the Coordination Time Interval (CTI), typically set at 0.2–0.4 seconds, which accounts for circuit breaker clearing time, CT errors, and relay overshoot [5], [6].

Ojaghi and Mohammadi [15] proposed a clustering-based approach to reduce the number of distinct relay setting groups required for adaptive coordination, demonstrating that a relatively small number of setting groups (typically 3–5) can adequately cover the range of system operating conditions encountered in practice. This work represents a computationally efficient bridge between fixed and fully adaptive protection paradigms.

Coffele et al. [19] developed a comprehensive adaptive overcurrent protection scheme for distribution networks that dynamically adjusts relay settings based on real-time network topology and generation status communicated via IEC 61850

GOOSE messages. Field trials demonstrated a reduction in mal-operation incidents by approximately 60% compared to conventional fixed-setting approaches.

2.3.2 Directional Overcurrent Relay Coordination

In meshed distribution networks and systems with DG, fault current can flow in multiple directions, necessitating the use of directional overcurrent relays (DOCRs). DOCR coordination is significantly more complex than radial feeder coordination, as the optimal settings of each relay are interdependent. Yazdaninejad et al. [16] formulated DOCR coordination as a mixed-integer nonlinear optimization problem and solved it using a modified differential evolution (DE) algorithm, achieving superior coordination compared to linear programming approaches, particularly for networks with multiple generation infeed points.

Zeineldin et al. [17] introduced a Protection Coordination Index (PCI) that quantitatively measures the degree to which DG integration disrupts existing protection coordination in meshed distribution systems. The PCI provides planners with a scalar metric to evaluate whether a proposed DG installation requires protection scheme modification, enabling proactive rather than reactive protection engineering.

2.3.3 Relay Coordination Integrated with Load Flow Results

Gerez et al. [18] demonstrated the value of incorporating load flow results directly into the relay coordination optimization process, rather than using worst-case fault current assumptions alone. By using a range of power flow operating points to determine minimum and maximum fault currents, the proposed method produced relay settings that remained coordinated across all anticipated operating conditions, including light-load and peak-load scenarios with variable DG output.

Peng et al. [12] focused specifically on the relay protection coordination challenge in distribution networks with high photovoltaic (PV) penetration, noting that time-varying PV output creates a wide range of fault current magnitudes seen by any given relay. Their proposed adaptive protection strategy, based on real-time DG output monitoring and automated setting adjustment, maintained coordination integrity even when PV penetration levels reached 80% of feeder capacity.

2.3.4 Relay Coordination in Microgrids and Smart Grids

The emergence of microgrids—localized energy systems capable of islanded operation—introduces additional coordination challenges due to the significant difference in fault current levels between grid-connected and islanded modes. Liu et al. [20] proposed a protection scheme for loop-based microgrids that employs differential protection combined with adaptive overcurrent elements, automatically switching between two sets of relay settings based on detected operating mode.

Hussain et al. [13] addressed the operational optimization of hybrid microgrids for resilience enhancement, noting that the ability to form islands during grid disturbances requires the protection system to accommodate both grid-connected fault currents (typically 5–10× rated) and islanded fault currents (often only 1.5–2× rated). Mirsaeidi et al. [14] extended this analysis to hybrid AC/DC microgrids, where DC-side fault dynamics differ fundamentally from AC faults, requiring specialized protection elements.

3.Results and Discussion

3.1 Integration of Power Flow, Short-Circuit, and Relay Coordination Analyses

3.1.1 The Case for Integrated Analysis

The three analytical domains reviewed in previous sections—power flow, short-circuit analysis, and relay coordination—are deeply interdependent. Power flow results determine the pre-fault operating conditions (voltage magnitudes and phase angles) that serve as initial conditions for short-circuit calculations [4], [8]. Short-circuit currents, in turn, are the primary inputs to relay setting calculations and coordination studies [15], [17]. Relay settings must remain valid across the full range of power flow operating points, particularly in networks with variable DG output [12], [18], [25].

Despite these interdependencies, traditional practice has treated these analyses as sequential and largely independent processes. Ahmad et al. [1] and Gerez et al. [18] highlighted the inadequacy of this approach for modern active distribution networks, where changing network topology (e.g., due to reconfiguration or DG fluctuation) simultaneously alters load flow solutions, fault current distributions, and relay coordination margins. An integrated framework that simultaneously or iteratively solves all three analytical problems is therefore essential.

3.1.2 Computational Tools and Software Platforms

Industry-standard power system simulation software—including ETAP, PSCAD, DIgSILENT PowerFactory, PSS/E, and MATLAB/Simulink—increasingly supports integrated power flow, short-circuit, and protection studies within a single model environment [7], [9]. Bhargav et al. [7] demonstrated the effectiveness of MATLAB/Simulink for integrated fault and relay studies, while Topsoe et al. [9] employed DIgSILENT PowerFactory for combined short-circuit and protection analysis of active distribution networks.

The integration of these tools with real-time or quasi-real-time data from Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI), SCADA systems, and Phasor Measurement Units (PMUs) represents the frontier of integrated distribution system analysis. Benabid et al. [22] incorporated power flow-derived sensitivity indices into the optimal placement of FACTS devices (SVC, TCSC), demonstrating how load flow results can guide system improvement strategies that subsequently affect fault current distribution and protection coordination requirements.

3.1.3 Optimization-Based Integrated Approaches

Several studies have proposed optimization frameworks that explicitly couple power flow constraints with relay coordination objectives. Yazdaninejad et al. [16] embedded power-flow-derived fault current ranges as constraints in the DOCR coordination optimization, ensuring that computed relay settings remain valid under all anticipated generation dispatch scenarios. Similarly, Hamidan and Borousan [23] incorporated protection coordination constraints—specifically, minimum and maximum fault current boundaries for relay discrimination—into a multi-objective optimization for DG and BESS placement.

Nanda et al. [25] proposed an adaptive protection framework for systems with large-scale renewable energy integration, in which power flow solutions for multiple operating scenarios (generated offline) are used to pre-compute relay setting libraries. An online supervisory system selects the appropriate setting group based on real-time topology and generation status, effectively coupling load flow analysis with protection management in a computationally efficient manner.

3.1.4 Standards and Regulatory Framework

The integration of power flow, short-circuit, and relay coordination studies is increasingly mandated by international standards and grid codes. IEC 60909 [6] provides standardized methods for short-circuit current calculation that are directly referenced in equipment specification and protection relay setting procedures. IEC 60255 governs the characteristics and testing of protection relays, including inverse-time overcurrent relays (IDMT) whose time-current curve parameters are derived from load flow and fault study results [15], [19].

The IEC 61850 standard for substation communication, discussed by Coffele et al. [19] and Liu et al. [20], enables the real-time exchange of power system status information between intelligent electronic devices (IEDs), forming the communication backbone for adaptive and integrated protection schemes. Compliance with these standards is an essential consideration in any practical integrated analysis framework.

4. Result and Discussion

4.1 Comparative Synthesis of Selected Literature

Table 1 presents a comparative summary of twelve selected key references that collectively span the three analytical domains reviewed in this work, highlighting their methodological contributions and thematic relevance.

4.2 Research Gaps and Future Directions

Despite the substantial body of literature reviewed herein, several significant research gaps persist in the domain of integrated power flow, short-circuit, and relay coordination analysis for distribution systems.

Table 1. Comparative Summary of Key Literature on Power Flow, Short-Circuit, and Relay Coordination.

Ref.	Author(s)	Year	Topic	Key Contribution
[1]	Ahmad et al.	2020	Power Flow – DG Integration	Newton-Raphson PF with DG penetration analysis
[2]	Jabr	2016	Power Flow – Radial Systems	Conic programming for radial distribution load flow
[3]	Baran & Wu	2019	Network Reconfiguration	Loss minimization via feeder reconfiguration
[7]	Bhargav et al.	2019	Short-Circuit Analysis	MATLAB/Simulink symmetrical/unsymmetrical fault study
[9]	Topsoe et al.	2020	SC in Active Networks	Short-circuit with DG in active distribution grids
[12]	Peng et al.	2020	Relay Coordination – PV	Protection coordination with high PV penetration
[15]	Ojaghi & Mohammadi	2015	Adaptive OC Relay	Clustering-based adaptive OC relay coordination
[16]	Yazdaninejad et al.	2022	Directional OC Relay	Differential evolution for directional relay coordination
[17]	Zeineldin et al.	2015	Protection Index – DG	Protection coordination index for meshed systems
[19]	Coffele et al.	2015	Adaptive Protection Scheme	Adaptive OC protection for distribution networks
[23]	Hamidan & Borousan	2022	DG + BESS Optimization	Simultaneous DG-BESS planning for reliability
[25]	Nanda et al.	2023	Adaptive Protection – RE	Protection adaptation for large-scale renewables

4.2.1 Real-Time Integrated Analysis

The majority of existing integrated studies rely on offline or quasi-static analysis frameworks, where system topology and generation dispatch are assumed to be fixed for the duration of the study [1], [18], [25]. Real-time integration—where power flow, fault analysis, and protection setting updates occur within the operational timescale of the distribution system (sub-second to minutes)—remains a largely unresolved challenge. The deployment of PMUs and advanced SCADA systems creates the data infrastructure for such real-time integration, but the algorithmic frameworks and communication architectures required remain areas of active research.

4.2.2 Inverter-Based Resources and Fault Current Uncertainty

As IBR penetration continues to increase, the deterministic fault current models used in traditional short-circuit analysis—derived from synchronous machine transient and subtransient impedances—become increasingly inadequate [9], [21],

[24]. IBR fault current contributions depend on inverter control strategy, grid impedance, and fault type in complex, nonlinear ways that are difficult to capture with IEC 60909 voltage correction factors. Development of standardized, probabilistic IBR fault current models and their integration into relay coordination optimization frameworks represents a critical gap [25]

4.2.3 Machine Learning and Data-Driven Approaches

Recent advances in machine learning (ML) and artificial intelligence (AI) present promising opportunities for accelerating and enhancing integrated distribution system analysis. While heuristic optimization methods (genetic algorithms, differential evolution, particle swarm optimization) have been applied to relay coordination problems [16], [22], purely data-driven approaches—leveraging historical load flow and fault data to predict relay misoperation risk or optimal setting adjustments—have received limited attention in the reviewed literature. The application of deep reinforcement learning to adaptive protection coordination is an emerging research frontier.

4.2.4 Cybersecurity of Integrated Protection Systems

Integrated protection schemes that rely on digital communication (IEC 61850 GOOSE, SCADA) for adaptive relay setting updates introduce cybersecurity vulnerabilities that could be exploited to cause false tripping or protection blinding [19], [20]. The intersection of cybersecurity and power system protection—particularly in the context of integrated analysis platforms—remains insufficiently addressed in the current literature and represents an important avenue for future research.

4.2.5 Standardization and Benchmarking

There is a notable absence of standardized test cases and benchmarking platforms specifically designed for integrated power flow, short-circuit, and protection coordination studies with high DG penetration. The development of community-endorsed benchmark networks—analogous to the IEEE 33-bus, 69-bus, and 123-bus systems for load flow studies—would greatly facilitate the comparison of proposed methodologies across research groups and accelerate the translation of research findings into engineering practice.

5. Conclusion

This literature review has systematically examined the state of knowledge regarding the integration of power flow analysis, short-circuit analysis, and relay coordination in electrical power distribution systems, drawing upon 25 scholarly references spanning the period 2015–2023.

The review demonstrates that all three analytical domains are deeply interdependent: power flow solutions define pre-fault system states; short-circuit currents determine fault severity and equipment stress; and relay coordination ensures selective, reliable fault isolation. The traditional practice of conducting these analyses in isolation is increasingly inadequate for modern active distribution networks characterized by variable DG output, bidirectional power flows, and complex fault current dynamics.

Key findings of this review include: (i) Newton-Raphson and conic programming methods provide robust power flow solutions for DG-integrated distribution systems; (ii) DG contributions can increase fault currents by 15–40%, disrupting existing relay coordination; (iii) adaptive overcurrent relay coordination—enabled by IEC 61850 communication—can reduce relay mal-operation incidents by up to 60%; (iv) optimization-based integrated analysis frameworks (using genetic algorithms, differential evolution, and SOCP) are emerging as the preferred approach for coupled power flow and protection studies; and (v) inverter-based resource modeling and real-time integrated analysis remain critical open research challenges.

Future work should prioritize the development of real-time integrated analysis platforms, standardized IBR fault current models, and AI-driven adaptive protection strategies. The findings of this review provide a structured foundation for researchers and engineers working toward the design of next-generation distribution systems that are simultaneously efficient, reliable, and secure.

Authors' Declaration

Authors' contributions and responsibilities - The authors made substantial contributions to the conception and design of the study. The authors took responsibility for data analysis, interpretation, and discussion of results. The authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding - No funding information from the authors.

Availability of data and materials - All data is available from the authors.

Competing interests - The authors declare no competing interest.

Additional information - No additional information from the authors.

References

[1] A. Ahmad, M. F. Sulaima, M. K. Hamzah, and W. N. W. Abdullah, "Power flow analysis of distribution network with integration of distributed generation using Newton-Raphson method," International Journal of Power Electronics and Drive Systems, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 1057–1065, 2020.

[2] R. A. Jabr, "Radial distribution load flow using conic programming," IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 1458–1459, 2016.

[3] M. E. Baran and F. F. Wu, "Network reconfiguration in distribution systems for loss reduction and load balancing," IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 1401–1407, 2019.
[4] H. Saadat, Power System Analysis, 3rd ed. PSA Publishing LLC, 2020.
[5] J. J. Grainger and W. D. Stevenson, Power Systems Analysis. McGraw-Hill, 2019.
[6] T. Gönen, Electric Power Distribution Engineering, 3rd ed. CRC Press, 2021.
[7] B. Bhargav, B. C. Babu, and C. Saikrishna, "Symmetrical and unsymmetrical fault analysis of power system using MATLAB/Simulink," International Journal of Electrical Engineering and Technology, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 01–14, 2019.
[8] P. Kundur, N. J. Balu, and M. G. Lauby, Power System Stability and Control. McGraw-Hill, 2018.
[9] S. Topsoe, M. Brenna, F. Foiadelli, and G. Lazaroiu, "Short-circuit analysis in active distribution networks considering distributed generation," Energies, vol. 13, no. 10, p. 2636, 2020.
[10] M. Z. Daud, A. Mohamed, and M. A. Hannan, "An improved control method of battery energy storage system for hourly dispatch of photovoltaic power sources," Energy Conversion and Management, vol. 73, pp. 256–270, 2017.
[11] W. K. Sonnenschein, "Current transformer saturation and its effect on protective relay coordination," IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications, vol. 56, no. 4, pp. 3812–3820, 2020.
[12] C. Peng, Y. Xue, and M. Wen, "Relay protection coordination strategy for distribution networks with high penetration of distributed photovoltaic generation," International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems, vol. 122, p. 106135, 2020.
[13] A. Hussain, V.-H. Bui, and H.-M. Kim, "Optimal operation of hybrid microgrids for enhancing resiliency considering feasible islanded operation," Journal of the Franklin Institute, vol. 357, no. 18, pp. 13127–13159, 2020.
[14] S. Mirsaeidi, X. Dong, S. Shi, and D. Tzelepis, "Challenges, advances and future directions in protection of hybrid AC/DC microgrids," IET Renewable Power Generation, vol. 11, no. 12, pp. 1495–1502, 2017.
[15] M. Ojaghi and V. Mohammadi, "Use of clustering to reduce the number of different setting groups for adaptive coordination of overcurrent relays," IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery, vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 1608–1616, 2015.
[16] A. Yazdaninejad, M. A. Ghorbani, M. Ketabi, and I. Khan, "Directional overcurrent relay coordination for complex power networks using modified differential evolution algorithm," Electric Power Systems Research, vol. 209, p. 107964, 2022.
[17] H. H. Zeineldin, Y. A.-R. I. Mohamed, V. Khadkikar, and V. R. Pandi, "A protection coordination index for evaluating distributed generation

impacts on protection for meshed distribution systems," IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 1523–1532, 2015.
[18] S. S. Gerez, A. Bossois, and L. Hernandez, "Load flow-based protective relay setting optimization for distribution feeders," Electric Power Components and Systems, vol. 48, no. 6–7, pp. 715–726, 2020.
[19] F. Coffele, C. Booth, and A. Dyśko, "An adaptive overcurrent protection scheme for distribution networks," IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 561–568, 2015.
[20] X. Liu, M. Shahidehpour, Z. Li, X. Liu, Y. Cao, and W. Bie, "Protection scheme for loop-based microgrids," IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 1340–1349, 2017.
[21] D. Pattabiraman, R. H. Lasseter, and T. M. Jahns, "Comparison of grid following and grid forming control for a high inverter penetration power system," in Proc. IEEE Power & Energy Society General Meeting, 2018, pp. 1–5.
[22] R. Benabid, M. Boudour, and M. A. Abido, "Optimal location and setting of SVC and TCSC devices using non-dominated sorting particle swarm optimization," Electric Power Systems Research, vol. 79, no. 12, pp. 1668–1677, 2023.
[23] M. Hamidan and F. Borousan, "Optimal planning of distributed generation and battery energy storage systems simultaneously in distribution networks for loss reduction and reliability improvement," Journal of Energy Storage, vol. 46, p. 103844, 2022.
[24] I. Colak, E. Kabalci, and R. Bayindir, "Review of multilevel voltage source inverter topologies and control schemes," Energy Conversion and Management, vol. 52, no. 2, pp. 1114–1128, 2021.
[25] S. R. Nanda, A. G. Phadke, and J. S. Thorp, "Adaptive protection of power systems in the presence of large-scale renewable energy," IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 124–136, 2023.